

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,123	03/15/2004	William Dennis Nottingham	740085.402C1	9178
500	7590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			SINGH, SUNIL	
701 FIFTH A	- · -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		3673		

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim$			
	10/801,123	NOTTINGHAM, W	/ILLIAM DENNIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sunil Singh	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this or O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> •					
	<del>_</del>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/04.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	O-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/801,123

Art Unit: 3673

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "invention" and "improved" should be omitted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status of serial no. 09/918,693 needs to be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/801,123

Art Unit: 3673

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burkemper or Hunsucker or Murray, Jr., Borberg or Dougherty et al. or Buckingham or Conkling or Conkling or Boardman (US 4419030, 3302412, 2128428, 2004188, 1806967, 1032109, 1012124, 923110).

See Figures 4B; 2,3,5; 1; 2; 1; 1-3; 1; 1-4; respectively. It should be noted that these figures are no different than figures 4, 5A, 5B depicted in applicant's drawings.

- 6. Claims 1,3-9,11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cahill or Cushing (US 1951293, 1951292, 1437044).

  See Figs. 3, 1, 4 respectively.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Troye (US 1341949).

See Fig. 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,123

Art Unit: 3673

8. Claims 1, 4-7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thackray (US 1896259).

Thackray discloses claims 1, 4-7 (see Fig. 10); claim 14 is taught Thackray see col. 2 line 30+.

9. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hausler or Conkling or Conkling et al.(US 2074906, 1071985,968450).

Conkling discloses claims 1-12, (see Figs. 2, 7, 4 respectively).

### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/801,123

**Art Unit: 3673** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Sum Art Unit 3673

SS 11/22/04